UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,374 05/12/2006 Peter Nord		Peter Nord	OUTT 3475	8229
	7590 08/11/200 AND BEDELL, P.C.	EXAMINER		
16100 NW COI	RNELL ROAD, SUITI	KO, STEPHEN K		
BEAVERTON, OR 97006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/579,374	NORD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
STEPHEN KO	1792		

	STEPHEN KO	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		otou olaliilo.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🛮 Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
/Michael Kornakov/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792	Examiner, /S.K./		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendment to claim 32 introduces new limitations and therefore as currently presented claim 32 requires at least further consideration and possible search. Amendment to claim 32 also changes the scope of dependent claims, which also requires at least further consideration and possible search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding to claim 32, applicants arguments are based on the amendment, which is not entered.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants argue that a person of ordinary skill in the art would see no advantage to employ a mechnism for bending the electrode in the apparatus of either Redhead et al/ CA-910. Examiner's position is that since the claims recite cleaning electrode (i.e claims 23-31), one skilled in the art would have found obvious to modify the apparatus of either Redhead et al/CA-910 by adding a mechanism for bending the electrode as mentioned in Middlin et al such that the cleaning apparatus of combined teaching of either Redhead et al/CA-910 and Middlin et al can clean different different kind of electrode successfully, hence enhance the cleaning efficiency for cleaning different electrodes.